IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 8TH AUGUST 2007 / 17TH SRAVANA 1929

OP.No. 10410 of 2000(L)

PETITIONER:

A.ABDUL REHIMAN,
VELIYIL HOUSE,
MUTHUKULOM SOUTH,
MUTHUKULOM, ALLEPPEY DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.HARILAL

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, GOVT.SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

2. **THE COMMISSIONER OF LAND REVENUE, KERALA STATE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

3. **DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ALLEPPEY.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. SMITHA SUKUMARAN

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 08/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON C.M.P.NO.17310/2000 IN O.P.NO.10410/2000 L

DISMISSED

8/08/2007 **SD/-THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN,**
JUDGE.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE OBJECTION DTD. 1/02/96 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE SECRETARY, BOARD OF REVENUE.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE FORWARDING LETTER DTD. 24/11/95 SENT BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT TO SECRETARY, BOARD OF REVENUE, TRIVANDRUM.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE RELEVANT EXTRACT OF PROVISIONAL INTEGRATED LDC/VA SENIORITY LIST AS ON 10/09/84.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE OBJECTION FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF LAND REVENUE, TRIVANDRUM DTD. 12/12/99.**

EXT.P5: **COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN O.P.NO.6129 OF 1990D DTD. 13TH NOVEMBER 1995 OF THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA.**

EXT.P6: **COPY OF THE LETTER DTD. 6/02/96 SENT BY THE COMMISSIONER & SECRETARY TO GOVT. TO THE SECRETARY BOARD OF REVENUE, TRIVANDRUM.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.S.TO JUDGE

KSS

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

O.P.No.10410 of 2000-L

= =

Dated this the 8th day of August, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Learned Government Pleader takes notice for the third respondent. Service complete.

2. The petitioner challenges Ext.P3 proceedings of the Commissioner of Land Revenue by which provisional integrated seniority list of LDCs/VAs as on 10-9-1984 was prepared and issued for circulation and calling for claims and objections. Ext.P4 is made by the petitioner by way of objections to Ext.P3. Ext.P4 is dated 12-12-1999. Under such circumstances, if Ext.P4 has been received in opposition to Ext.P3, there is no reason why those objections would not have been considered, if Ext.P3 has been finalized. It is directed that if the finalization of Ext.P3

is yet pending, Ext.P4 will also be considered and appropriate decision taken in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

Sha/-

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

= =

O.P.No.10410 of 2000-L

= =

JUDGMENT

Dated: 8th August, 2007.